1 STATE OF NEVADA 2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT 3 **RELATIONS BOARD** 4 5 CITY OF RENO, 6 Complainant, VS. 7 ITEM: 777A RENO FIREFIGHTERS LOCAL 731. 8 INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CASE NO. A1-046049 **FIREFIGHTERS** 9 ORDER THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, 10 STATIONARY LOCAL #39, AFL-CIO 11 THE RENO ADMINISTRATIVE AND 12 PROFESSIONAL GROUP 13 THE RENO POLICE PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION: 14 THE RENO POLICE SUPERVISORY AND 15 ADMINISTRATIVE EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION 16 THE RENO FIRE DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATORS' ASSOCIATION, 17 18 Respondents, 19 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE MANAGERS & SUPERVISORS ASSOC. 20 Intervenor, 21 Complainant: City of Reno and their attorney Donald L. Christensen, Esq. 22 Respondents: Reno Firefighters Local 731, IAFF. & their attorney Laurence Peter Digesti, Esq. 23 International Union of Operating Engineers, Stationary Local #39, AFL-CIO Respondents: 24 and Jerry Fredrick 25 Respondents: Reno Administrative and Professional Group & their attorney Michael E, Langton, Esq. 26 Reno Police Protective Association. & their attorney Michael E, Langton, Esq. Respondents: 27 Respondents: Reno Police Supervisory and Administrative Employees Association. & their 28 attorney Michael E. Langton, Esq.

Respondents:

Reno Fire Department Administrators' Association. & their attorney Thomas J.

Donaldson, Esq.

Intervenor:

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Managers and Supervisors Association & their

Attorney Casey J. Nelson, Esq.

On the 7th day of March, 2012, this matter came on before the State of Nevada, Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board ("Board"), for consideration and decision pursuant to the provisions of the NRS and NAC chapters 288, NRS chapter 233B, and was properly noticed pursuant to Nevada's open meeting laws.

At issue before the Board are three requests: 1) a petition for leave to intervene in this matter filed by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Managers and Supervisors Association ("LVMPMSA"); 2) a motion to dismiss filed by Reno Police Supervisory and Administrative Employees Association, Reno Police Protective Association, Reno Fire Department Administrators Association and Reno Administrative and Professionals Group (hereafter referred to as "Police Associations' Motion" for ease of reference); and 3) a motion to dismiss the petition for declaratory order filed by International Association of Firefighters Local 731 (hereafter referred to for ease of reference as "Firefighters' motion").

Petition to Intervene

Intervention in a declaratory order proceeding before the Board is governed by NAC 288.260. The Board has reviewed the petition to intervene filed by LVMPMSA and is satisfied that LVMPMSA has a sufficient interest in this petition for declaratory order, and that LVMPMSA's petition satisfies the requirements of NAC 288.260. Therefore, it is hereby ordered that LVMPMSA's petition to intervene is granted.

Police Associations' Motion to Dismiss

The Police Associations' Motion agues two points: first, that this Board is not a "court of record" as that term is used in NRS 30.030; and second, that NRS 30.030 authorizes "courts of record" to issue declaratory orders. The conclusion that the Police Associations draw from these two points is that the EMRB lacks jurisdiction to issue declaratory orders.

28 | 1///

The Board agrees that the EMRB is not a "court of record" and that NRS 30.030 does not apply to the EMRB. However, it does not follow that the EMRB lacks jurisdiction to issue declaratory orders.

The EMRB's grant of authority to issue declaratory orders is not based on NRS Chapter 30, but instead derives from an altogether different statutory provision. NRS 233B.120 applies to the EMRB and authorizes the EMRB to issue declaratory orders and advisory opinions "...as to the applicability of any statutory provision ..."

Pursuant to the direction of NRS 233B.120, the EMRB has codified NAC 288.380-.420 to establish a procedure for the Board to issue declaratory orders.

In this case, the City of Reno is seeking a declaratory order as to the applicability of changes to NRS Chapter 288 made by of S.B. 98 (2011) to the City's employees. In particular, the City's petition addresses the changes to the definition of a "supervisory employee" in NRS 288.075, and the newly-created subsection 4 of NRS 288.140. The City's petition states that it is seeking an interpretation of these provisions from this Board rather than unilaterally imposing its view of the requirements of S.B. 98 on its employees in order to potentially avoid complaints and litigation if the City were to unilaterally act. See Pet. for Decl. Order ¶ 12. This is precisely the purpose for which administrative declaratory orders are made available under the Administrative Procedures Act. e.g. Chiles v. Department of State, Div. of Elections, 711 So.2d 151, 154 - 155 (Fla.App. 1998) ("[t]he purpose of a declaratory statement is to address the applicability of a statutory provision or an order or rule of the agency in particular circumstances. A party who obtains a statement of the agency's position may avoid costly administrative litigation by selecting the proper course of action in advance.")

The City is within its rights under NRS 233B.120 and NAC 288.380-.420 to seek a declaratory order from the Board. The Police Associations' motion to dismiss is hereby denied.

Firefighters' Motion to Dismiss

The Firefighters' Motion is also based on NRS 30.030, and the elements for a ripe declaratory judgment under the Declaratory Judgments Act that the Nevada Supreme Court applied in <u>Doe v. Bryan</u>, 102 Nev. 523, 525, 728 P.2d 443, 444 (1986). The Firefighters argue

that the City's requests for a declaratory order have not asserted a justiciable controversy and are not ripe for judicial determination.

However, as noted above, administrative declaratory orders are not based upon the provisions of NRS Chapter 30 and the Firefighters have not presented any authority that extends the justiciability and ripeness requirements applied in <u>Doe v. Bryan</u> to the administrative declaratory orders authorized by NRS 233B.120. As the purpose of administrative declaratory orders are to obtain guidance from an administrative agency before taking action that might lead to a justiciable controversy, the Firefighters have not established a sufficient basis for the Board to dismiss the petition. The Firefighters' motion to dismiss is hereby denied.

Hearing

The Board also considered whether to set this petition for a hearing under NAC 288.410(2)(b) and concludes that a hearing is warranted. The Commissioner is directed to schedule a hearing in this matter for a future board meeting.

DATED this 16th day of March, 2012.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-

MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD

BY:_____

SEATON J. CURRAN, ESQ., Chairman

1 STATE OF NEVADA 2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT 3 **RELATIONS BOARD** 4 5 CITY OF RENO, 6 Complainant, 7 CASE NO. A1-046049 RENO FIREFIGHTERS LOCAL 731. INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIREFIGHTERS **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER** THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, 10 STATIONARY LOCAL #39, AFL-CIO 11 THE RENO ADMINISTRATIVE AND 12 PROFESSIONAL GROUP THE RENO POLICE PROTECTIVE 13 ASSOCIATION; 14 THE RENO POLICE SUPERVISORY AND ADMINISTRATIVE EMPLOYEES 15 ASSOCIATION 16 THE RENO FIRE DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATORS' ASSOCIATION, 17 18 Respondents, 19 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE MANAGERS & SUPERVISORS ASSOC. 20 Intervenor 21 22 City of Reno and their attorney Donald L. Christensen, Esq. 23 To: Reno Firefighters Local 731, IAFF. & their attorney Laurence Peter Digesti, Esq. 24 To: International Union of Operating Engineers, Stationary Local #39, AFL-CIO and Jerry 25 To: Fredrick 26 To: Reno Administrative and Professional Group & their attorney Michael E, Langton, Esq. 27 To: Reno Police Protective Association. & their attorney Michael E, Langton, Esq. 28

I		
1	То:	Reno Police Supervisory and Administrative Employees Association. & their attorney Michael E, Langton, Esq.
3	To:	Reno Fire Department Administrators' Association. & their attorney Thomas J. Donaldson Esq.
4	То:	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Managers and Supervisors Association & their Attorney Casey J. Nelson, Esq.
5		PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an ORDER was entered in the above-entitled matter on
6	 Marcl	h 16, 2012.
7		A copy of said order is attached hereto.
8		DATED this 16th day of March, 2012.
10		LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE- MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD
11		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
12		BY Jayer a hall
13		JOYCE A. HOLTZ, Executive Assistant
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 2 I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board, and that on the 16th day of March, 2012, I served a copy of the foregoing 3 4 ORDER by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid to: 5 Donald L. Christensen, Esq. Deputy City Attorney 6 PO Box 1900 Reno, NV 89505 7 Laurence Peter Digesti, Esq. 8 485 W. Fifth Street Reno, NV 89503 9 Jerry Fredrick 10 Stationary Local #39 390 Kirman Ave. Reno, NV 89502 11 12 Michael E, Langton, Esq. 801 Riverside Drive Reno, NV 89503 13 Thomas J. Donaldson, Esq. 14 Dyer, Lawrence, Penrose, Flaherty, Donaldson & Prunty 15 2805 Mountain Street Carson City, NV 89703 16 Casey J Nelson Esq. Aldrich Law Firm LTD. 17 1601 S. Rainbow Blvd. #160 18 Las Vegas, NV 89146 19 Adam P. Segal, Esq. Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP 100 North City Pkwy, #1600 20 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614 21 James W. Penrose, Esq. 22 Dyer, Lawrence, Penrose, Flaherty, Donaldson & Prunty 2805 Mountain Street Carson City, NV 89703 23 24

25 26

27

28

YCE HOLTZ, Executive Assistant